

With reference to Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, Rule 4(a)(1), the Plaintiffs Ethan Book and Ethan Book for U.S. Senate hereby give this notice and appeals to the U.S. Second Circuit Court of Appeals from the District Court rulings (1) of February 3, 2011 to grant the Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (#39; copy of ruling attached hereto as Exhibit 1; same ruling forwarded to Plaintiffs via regular mail on February 4, 2011, a ruling which noticeably fails to encompass specific action regarding the Plaintiffs' Motion of August 22, 2010 for Reconsideration of Court Ruling to Deny Unopposed Motion for Emergency Temporary Preliminary Injunction, #11), (2) of February 16, 2011 to prohibit the Plaintiffs from filing additional motions with the District Court without specific written permission (#43; copy of ruling attached hereto as Exhibit 2) and (3) of May 31, 2011 to deny the Plaintiffs' timely

PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF APPEAL

ETHAN BOOK and
ETHAN BOOK FOR U.S. SENATE
Plaintiffs
v.
SUSAN BYSIEWICZ, GAYLE S.
SLOSSBERG, JAMES F. SPALLONE,
RICHARD BLUMENTHAL and
STATE OF CONNECTICUT
Defendants

: Case No. 3:10-cv-1228 (PCD)

: June 1, 2011

FILED
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT
2011 JUN -1 P 3:10


Rule 59 Motion for Reconsideration of Court Ruling to Grant Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (#46; copy attached hereto as Exhibit 3). All rulings are of District Judge Peter C. Dorsey.

The issues of this Appeal pertain to particular portions of the recent statewide election process and are of great and broad public interests. Of particular note is that the recent ruling of Judge Dorsey in granting reconsideration while adhering to the prior ruling comments simply that "Plaintiffs have not presented any arguments not previously considered by this Court in its Ruling on Motion to Dismiss." The real issue is not if the matters raised by the Plaintiffs had been previously considered but rather if the matters raised, which were raised without the benefit of discovery, have been fully and correctly administered and decided. There is great public importance to this matter and urgency for full and complete resolve of the issues.

This Appeal is related to Ethan Book and Ethan Book for U.S. Senate v. Susan Bysiewicz et al., CA #10-4688-cv.

For the nature of the legal issues inherent with such District Court ruling, the Plaintiffs deem that there are proper bases for summary disposition of this Appeal.

Respectfully submitted for Ethan Book
and Ethan Book for U.S. Senate



Ethan Book

P.O. Box 1385

Fairfield, CT 06825

Tel. (203) 367-8779

newenglimo@aol.com