

Ethan Book for U.S. Senate

June 4, 2011

Honorable
Barack Obama
President
United States of America
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20500

Dear President Obama:

This letter is further to our exchange of correspondence of February 6, May 23 and May 30, 2011 in which I raised issues including of the need for a full and reasonable explanation by your administration for the nature and timing of the December 2009 federal grant of \$100 million reportedly for the construction of a university hospital in Connecticut and understood to have been a favor for then Senator Christopher Dodd (that in the context of unanswered questions regarding his alleged role in “weed-gate”), also of the need for judicial reform and further regarding my pending federal court challenge of portions of the recent statewide election process including that pertaining to the position of U.S. Senator.

With respect to the federal court challenge of the recent statewide election process (Ethan Book and Ethan Book for U.S. Senate v. Susan Bysiewicz et al., Case No. 3:10-cv-1228), on June 1, 2011, I received notice of a ruling of District Judge Peter C. Dorsey to the effect of granting a timely Plaintiffs’ Motion for Reconsideration of Court Ruling to Grant Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss while denying the requested relief. The notice of electronic ruling made without a full court hearing for oral arguments explains simply that “[a]s Plaintiffs have not presented any arguments not previously considered by the Court in its Ruling on Motion to Dismiss . . . the Court’s prior ruling stands.” As I pointed out in my formal Notice of Appeal which was filed on June 1, 2011, “[t]he real issue is not if the matters raised by the Plaintiffs had been previously considered but rather if the matters raised, which were raised without the benefit of discovery, have been fully and correctly administered and decided”. This is introduction to other discussion that I give here of the subjects and this also advances other discussion which I have provided to you such as in my letters of May 5, 2009 and of January 25, 2011.

In mine to you of May 5, 2009, I provided ample discussion with good supporting references and information regarding a very serious problem of our nation’s courts of systematic biases (both generalized and particularized). It is in this setting that in early August of 2010, District Judge Peter C. Dorsey was assigned the cited federal lawsuit of challenge to the statewide